

# Decisions to Use Go-Food Services: Cultural, Social, Personal, and Psychological

*by Widi*

---

**Submission date:** 20-Nov-2023 12:33AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2206957664

**File name:** Submit.docx (136.23K)

**Word count:** 3339

**Character count:** 19250

# Decisions to Use Go-Food Services: Cultural, Social, Personal, and Psychological

Tumini

Departmen of Management, Universitas Panca Marga, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: Tumini (tumini@upm.ac.id)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to examine the important role of several factors in determining the decision to use Go-Food services. The factors tested include cultural, social, personal and psychological factors. This research was conducted in Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. The method used a quantitative approach and the help of PSS analysis tools. The research results show that cultural, social and personal factors do not influence person's decision to use Jada Go-Food. Meanwhile, psychological factors have an influence on a person's decision to use Go-Food services. Research findings show that in the context of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City, cultural, social and personal factors do not play an important role in deciding to use Go-Food services, while psychological factors are considered to play an important role. The implication is that Go-Food service providers and marketers can focus more on marketing strategies that emphasize psychological aspects in an effort to increase adoption and decisions to use Go-Food services in the region.

Keywords:

## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is famous for its diversity from culture, language, to culinary delights, each region has its own special features (Buchanan et al., 2018; Sulistyan et al., 2022; Yatminiwati et al., 2021). As time goes by, the purchasing process is no longer carried out in the conventional way, namely by bringing buyers and sellers together (Nalendra et al., 2021). The existence of increasingly developing technology means that the purchasing process can be done remotely (Irawan et al., 2019; Sopanah et al., 2023). One implementation in terms of using services that is supported by existing technological sophistication is the emergence of a service called Go-Food (Istanti et al., 2020).

Using Go-Food services is also influenced by consumer behavior which has several factors that can influence these activities (Prabowo & Nugroho, 2018). Cultural, social, personal and psychological factors are factors that can influence consumer behavior in local communities (Di Crosta et al., 2021; Guthrie et al., 2021; Melović et al., 2021). These factors that influence consumer behavior can encourage someone to make purchasing decisions or use a service (Riskawati et al., 2021). The stages that buyers go through in making choices about the products and services they want to buy are called the purchasing decision process (Sulistyan et al., 2017; Sulistyan et al., 2019).

One of the cities that has been touched by the sophistication and convenience of Go-Food is Probolinggo City where according to Probolinggo City Sectoral Statistics Data for 2019 this city has 5 sub-districts, one of which is Mayangan District which has the largest area in Probolinggo City with an area reaching 8,655 km<sup>2</sup>. Mayangan District consists of 5 subdistricts, namely Jati Subdistrict, Mangunharjo Subdistrict, Wiroborang Subdistrict, Mayangan Subdistrict, and Sukabumi Subdistrict.

The formulation of the problem in this research is whether each independent variable consisting of cultural factors, social factors, personal factors and psychological factors significantly influences consumer behavior regarding the decision to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City? Apart from that, problem formulation in the research is which factor has the dominant influence among the cultural, social, personal and psychological factors that influence consumer behavior regarding the decision to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City?.

## METHODS

This research uses associative research with a causal approach, applying quantitative methods with two data sources: primary data from respondent questionnaire results and secondary data from articles, journals and previous research. The research population involved the people of Madyangan District, Probolinggo City who use Go-Food services, with a very large population, and a sample of 100 respondents was selected using the Roscoe calculation technique. The research was completed with data analysis using SPSS, including validity and reliability tests to ensure the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. Classic assumption analysis involves tests of normality, multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation to check the feasibility of the model. Next, the research uses multiple regression analysis to evaluate the influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable, with determination analysis (R<sup>2</sup>) to measure the ability of the independent variable to explain the dependent variable.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Validity Test and Reliability Test

The results of validity and reliability testing in this research were produced and explained in table 1 as follows:

**Table 1. Validity Test Results**

Item	Significance Value	Margin of Error (0,05 or 5%)	Cronbach Alpha	Information
<b>Culture</b>				
1	0,000	0,05	0,874	Valid and Reliable
2	0,000	0,05		
3	0,001	0,05		
<b>Social</b>				
1	0,000	0,05	0,791	Valid and Reliable
2	0,000	0,05		
3	0,000	0,05		
<b>Personal</b>				
1	0,000	0,05	0,830	Valid and Reliable
2	0,001	0,05		
3	0,001	0,05		
4	0,000	0,05		
<b>Psychological</b>				
1	0,000	0,05	0,772	Valid and Reliable
2	0,000	0,05		
3	0,000	0,05		
4	0,000	0,05		
<b>Service Use Decisions</b>				
1	0,000	0,05	0,779	Valid and Reliable
2	0,000	0,05		
3	0,000	0,05		
4	0,000	0,05		
5	0,000	0,05		

Source: Data Processed (2022)

Based on table 1 of the validity test results above, it is known that all of the statement items in the questionnaire have a significance value of <0.05, so it can be concluded that the statement items as a whole are for the variables cultural factors, social factors, personal factors, psychological factors, and service use decisions. used in research conducted by researchers is declared valid. The validity test above for each item of the variable has a significance value below the margin of error, namely 0.05 or 5%. From the results of the reliability test above, it can also be seen that all of the variables

used in <sup>21</sup> is research are reliable (stable over time). This can be analyzed from Cronbach Alpha which has a value greater than the specified reliability value of 0.60.

Classic assumption test

a. Normality <sup>14</sup> test

**Table 2. Normality Test Results**

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test		Unstandardized Residual
N		100
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	,0000000
	Std. Deviation	2,54601609
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	0,077
	Positive	0,077
	Negative	-0,067
Test Statistic		0,077
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		0,148 <sup>c</sup>

Source: Data Processed (2022)

Referring to table 2 of the normality test results above, it can be observed carefully that the significance value is  $0.148 > 0.05$ . From this greater significance value, it can be concluded that the residual value is normally distributed.

b. Multicollinearity Test

Referring to table 3 of the multicollinearity test results below, the tolerance value for the cultural factor (X1) is 0.569. Meanwhile, the tolerance value for social factors (X2) was 0.5455, the tolerance value for personal factors (X3) was 0.422 and the tolerance value for psychological factors (X4) was 0.628. Judging from the tolerance value of each independent variable which has a tolerance value  $> 0.10$ , it can be said that in this model there is no multicollinearity..

**Tabel 3. Hasil Uji Multikolinieritas**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	5,692	1,581		3,599	0,001		
Culture	0,033	0,146	0,022	0,225	0,822	0,569	1,756
Social	0,217	0,142	0,168	1,531	0,129	0,455	2,197
Personal	0,020	0,135	0,017	0,148	0,882	0,422	2,368
Psychological	0,709	0,114	0,583	6,227	0,000	0,628	1,593

Source: Data Processed (2022)

<sup>13</sup> c. Heteroscedasticity Test

Based on the heteroscedasticity test output in table 4 below, it was found that the significance value of each independent variable where the cultural factor as X1 had a significance value of  $0.130 > 0.05$ . In addition, social factors as X2 have a significance value of  $0.264 > 0.05$  and personal factors as X3 have a significance value of  $0.458 > 0.05$  and psychological factors as X4 have a significance value of  $0.567 > 0.05$ .

**Table 4. Heteroscedasticity Test Results**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	4,151	1,017		4,080	0,000
Culture	-0,144	0,094	-0,199	-1,529	0,130
Social	-0,102	0,091	-0,163	-1,123	0,264
Personal	0,065	0,087	0,113	0,746	0,458
Psychological	0,-042	0,073	-0,071	-0,575	0,567

Source: Data Processed (2022)

10

### Multiple Regression Analysis

The results of multiple linear regression analysis in this study are explained in table 5 as follows:

**Table 5. Regression Analysis**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	R <sup>2</sup>
	Beta	Std. Error	Beta			
(Constant)	5,692	1,581		3,599	0,001	0,456
Culture	0,033	0,146	0,022	0,225	0,822	
Social	0,217	0,142	0,168	1,531	0,129	
Personal	0,020	0,135	0,017	0,148	0,882	
Psychological	0,709	0,114	0,583	6,227	0,000	

Source: Data Processed (2022)

4

Based on table 5 above, it can be seen that the constant value ( $\alpha$  value) is 5.692 and for cultural factors ( $\beta$  value) it is 0.033 while the social factor ( $\beta$  value) is 0.217. Likewise personal factors ( $\beta$  value) it is 0.020 and for psychological factors ( $\beta$  value) it is 0.709. So the multiple linear regression equation can be obtained as follows:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + \dots + b_nX_n$$

$$Y = 5,692 + 0,033X_1 + 0,217X_2 + 0,020X_3 + 0,709X_4$$

Which mean:

1. Constant value ( $\alpha$ ) = 5.692  
This constant value means that if the independent variable consisting of cultural factors (X1), social factors (X2), personal factors (X3) and psychological factors (X4) has a value of zero then the decision to use the service has a positive value of 5.692.
2. Regression coefficient X1 (cultural factor) = 0.033  
This regression value means that if there is a one unit increase in cultural factors, it will influence the increase in decisions to use services by 0.033 with other variables considered constant.
3. Regression coefficient X2 (social factors) = 0.217  
This regression value means that if there is a one unit increase in social factors, it will influence the increase in decisions to use services by 0.217 with other variables considered constant.
4. Regression coefficient X3 (personal factors) = 0.020  
This regression value means that if there is a one unit increase in personal factors, it will influence the increase in decisions to use services by 0.020 with other variables considered constant.
5. Regression coefficient X4 (psychological factor) = 0.709  
This regression value means that if there is a one unit increase in psychological factors, it will influence the increase in decisions to use services by 0.709 with other variables considered constant.

13

Based on the output results in table 5 above, the Adjusted R Square value (coefficient of determination) is 0.456. This means that the independent variable expressed with the symbol (X) which consists of cultural factors, social factors, personal factors and psychological factors influences consumer decisions regarding the dependent variable expressed with the symbol (Y), namely the decision to use services by 45.6 %. Meanwhile, the remaining 54.4% is influenced by other variables not included in this research.

The results of hypothesis testing in this research are as follows:

1. For the cultural variable, the value of tcount (0.225) < ttable (1.661) indicates that H0 is accepted. This means that the cultural factor variable (X1) does not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services.
2. For the social variable, the value of tcount (1.531) < ttable (1.661) shows that H0 is accepted. This means that the social factor variable (X2) does not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services.

- 20
3. For personal variables, the value of  $t_{count} (0.148) < t_{table} (1.661)$  shows that  $H_0$  is accepted. This means that the personal factor variable (X3) does not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services.
  4. In the psychological variable, the value of  $t_{count} (6.227) > t_{table} (1.661)$  shows that  $H_0$  is rejected. This means that the psychological factor variable (X4) significantly influences consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services.

In the output results of table 5 above, the dominant test can be seen in the independent variable which has the largest Standardized Coefficients Beta value. The dominant test output provides a statement that variable X4 namely, psychological factors are the variables that have the most dominant influence in influencing consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the Mayangan community, Probolinggo City with a Standardized Coefficients Beta value of 0.583.

#### 1) scussion

##### The Influence of Cultural Factors on Service Use Decisions

In the research conducted by this researcher, the results showed that cultural factor variables did not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. This statement is supported and proven by the results of statistical calculations with the  $t_{count}$  value for the cultural factor variable being smaller than  $t_{table}$ , namely  $0.225 < 1.661$  with a significance value greater than the predetermined margin of error value, namely  $0.822 > 0.05$ .

2  
In this analysis, it can be concluded that  $H_0$  is accepted and  $H_a$  is rejected (Yildiz et al., 2015), which means that the cultural factor variable does not influence consumer behavior regarding the decision to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. This cultural factor has no influence because culture, subculture and social class cannot influence consumers in using Go-Food services in everyday life.

##### 1 The Influence of Social Factors on Service Use Decisions

In research carried out by researchers, the results showed that social factor variables did not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. This statement is supported and proven from the results of statistical calculations with the  $t$  value for the social factor variable being smaller than  $t_{table}$ , namely  $1.531 < 1.661$  with a significance value greater than the predetermined margin of error value, namely  $0.129 > 0.05$ .

3  
So from this research it can be concluded that  $H_0$  is accepted while  $H_a$  is rejected (Kim & Lee, 2021), which means that social factor variables do not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. Social factors in society do not contribute to consumers using Go-Food services because consumers use Go-Food services not to gain recognition from other people but because of the convenience offered by Go-Food in terms of culinary purchases.

##### 4 The Influence of Personal Factors on Service Use Decisions

The research carried out by researchers currently shows that personal factor variables do not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. The results of this research are proven by the statistical results with the  $t_{count}$  value for the personal factor variable which has a value smaller than  $t_{table}$ , namely  $0.148 < 1.661$  with a significance value of  $0.882 > 0.05$ .

So this research can conclude that  $H_0$  is accepted while  $H_a$  is rejected, which means that personal factor variables do not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. In this research, cultural factors do not influence consumer behavior regarding the decision to use Go-Food services because consumers use Go-Food services not as a form of lifestyle but because they can save time and energy in the process of purchasing culinary delights through Go-Food.

##### 1 The Influence of Psychological Factors on Service Use Decisions

In this research, the results were obtained that psychological factor variables significantly influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. This researcher's statement is also supported and proven by the results of statistical calculations with the tcount value for the psychological factor variable being greater than ttable, namely  $6.227 > 1.661$  with a significance value smaller than the predetermined margin of error value, namely  $0.000 < 0.05$ .

So from this analysis it can be concluded that  $H_a$  is accepted while  $H_0$  is rejected, which means that psychological factor variables significantly influence consumer behavior regarding the decision to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. The decision to use Go-Food services is influenced by psychological factors due to the encouragement and perception and other psychological impulses in each consumer.

## 11 CONCLUSION

From the research output results that have been carried out by researchers in the community of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City as the research object, it can be concluded that cultural factor variables do not influence consumer behavior towards decisions to use Go-Food services in the community of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. Meanwhile, social factor variables do not influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. Apart from that, personal factor variables do not influence behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. Psychological factor variables significantly influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City. In this research, the psychological factor variable is the dominant factor compared to cultural, social and personal factors that influence consumer behavior regarding decisions to use Go-Food services in the people of Mayangan District, Probolinggo City with the largest Standardized Coefficients Beta value, namely 0.583.

## REFERENCES

- Buchanan, L., Kelly, B., Yeatman, H., & Kariippanon, K. (2018). The Effects of Digital Marketing of Unhealthy Commodities on Young People: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients*, *10*(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10020148>
- Di Crosta, A., Ceccato, I., Marchetti, D., La Malva, P., Maiella, R., Cannito, L., Cipi, M., Mammarella, N., Palumbo, R., Verrocchio, M. C., Palumbo, R., & Di Domenico, A. (2021). Psychological factors and consumer behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*, *16*(8), e0256095. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256095>
- Guthrie, C., Fosso-Wamba, S., & Arnaud, J. B. (2021). Online consumer resilience during a pandemic: An exploratory study of e-commerce behavior before, during and after a COVID-19 lockdown. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *61*, 102570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102570>
- Irawan, R., Nawangsih, N., & Sulistyan, R. B. (2019). Pengaruh Promosi, Produk dan Harga terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Onderdil Astra Honda Motor (AHM) pada UD. Rasa Motor di Lumajang. *Jobman: Journal of Organization and Bussines Management*, *1*(3), 121-129.
- Istanti, E., Sanusi, R., & Daeng, A. (2020). Impacts of Price, Promotion and Go Food Consumer Satisfaction in Faculty of Economic and Business Students of Bhayangkara University Surabaya. *Ekspektra : Jurnal Bisnis dan Manajemen*, *4*(2), 104-120. <https://doi.org/10.25139/ekt.v4i2.3134>
- Kim, H. K., & Lee, C. W. (2021). Relationships among Healthcare Digitalization, Social Capital, and Supply Chain Performance in the Healthcare Manufacturing Industry. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *18*(4), 1417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041417>
- Melović, B., Šehović, D., Karadžić, V., Dabić, M., & Ćirović, D. (2021). Determinants of Millennials' behavior in online shopping – Implications on consumers' satisfaction and e-business development. *Technology in Society*, *65*, 101561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101561>

- Nalendra, A. R. A., Winarno, S. H., Priadi, A., Hermawan, E., Purnomo, M. W., & Putra, A. S. (2021). The Effect Of Goods Prices And Buyer Trust On The E-Commerce Sales System For Purchasing Goods Online. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management*, 2(3), 561-567. <https://doi.org/10.46729/ijstm.v2i3.235>
- Prabowo, G. T., & Nugroho, A. (2018). Factors that Influence the Attitude and Behavioral Intention of Indonesian Users toward Online Food Delivery Service by the Go-Food Application. Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Business and Management Research (ICBMR 2018),
- Riskawati, M., Sulistyan, R. B., & Lukiana, N. (2021). Is Consumer Loyalty Judging from the Cafe Atmosphere and the Conformity of The Taste of the Product? *International Journal of Accounting and Management Research*, 2(1), 30-35. <https://doi.org/10.30741/ijamr.v2i1.686>
- Sopannah, A., Iswari, H. R., Nurdiansyah, F., & Sulistyan, R. B. (2023). Eksistensi Dan Peningkatan Kemandirian Ekonomi Sanggar Gong Pro Melalui Pelatihan Digital Marketing. *Jurnal Abdimas Bina Bangsa*, 4(2), 1355-1365. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jabb.v4i2.656>
- Sulistyan, R. B., Carito, D. W., Cahyaningati, R., Taufik, M., Kasno, K., & Samsuranto, S. (2022). Identification of Human Resources in the Application of SME Technology. *Wiga : Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Ekonomi*, 22(1), 70-76. <https://doi.org/10.30741/wiga.v12i1.799>
- Sulistyan, R. B., Pradesa, H. A., & Kasim, K. T. (2017). Peran Mediasi Kepuasan dalam Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan dan Citra Institusi terhadap Retensi Mahasiswa (Studi Pada Mahasiswa Perguruan Tinggi di Lumajang). *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Ekonomi WIGA*, 7(2), 77-87. <https://doi.org/10.30741/wiga.v7i2.337>
- Sulistyan, R. B., Setyobakti, M. H., & Darmawan, K. (2019). Strategi Pemberdayaan Masyarakat melalui Program Pembentukan Destinasi Wisata dan Usaha Kecil. *Empowerment Society*, 2(2), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.30741/eps.v2i2.457>
- Yatminiwati, M., Setyobakti, M. H., Sulistyan, R. B., & Ermawati, E. (2021). Social Entrepreneurship in MSME Development. *International Journal of Environmental, Sustainability, and Social Sciences*, 2(3), 239-243. <https://doi.org/10.38142/ijess.v2i3.111>
- Yildiz, H., Heitz-Spahn, S., & Belaud, L. (2018). Do ethnocentric consumers really buy local products? *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 43, 139-148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.03.004>



# Decisions to Use Go-Food Services: Cultural, Social, Personal, and Psychological

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

<b>17%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>%</b>
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

<b>1</b>	<b>ijisrt.com</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>new.jurnal.untad.ac.id</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>journal.uinsgd.ac.id</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>journal.uc.ac.id</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Ton Duc Thang University</b> Publication	<b>1%</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>doaj.org</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>ijebmr.com</b> Internet Source	<b>1%</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Robiatul Adewiyah, Anton Bawono. "The Effect of Islamic Capital Market Instruments on Indonesia's Economic Growth is</b>	<b>1%</b>

Moderated by The Money Supply",  
ISLAMICONOMIC: Jurnal Ekonomi Islam, 2023

Publication

---

9	<a href="http://jurnalmadani.stiemadani.ac.id">jurnalmadani.stiemadani.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
10	<a href="http://simdos.unud.ac.id">simdos.unud.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
11	<a href="http://pt.scribd.com">pt.scribd.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
12	<a href="http://studentsrepo.um.edu.my">studentsrepo.um.edu.my</a> Internet Source	1 %
13	<a href="http://repository.stei.ac.id">repository.stei.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
14	<a href="http://www.coursehero.com">www.coursehero.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
15	<a href="http://jurnal.kdi.or.id">jurnal.kdi.or.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
16	<a href="http://www.britannica.com">www.britannica.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
17	Bowling, Ann. "EBOOK: Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services", EBOOK: Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services, 2014 Publication	1 %

---

18	<a href="http://conference.binadarma.ac.id">conference.binadarma.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
19	<a href="http://inter-publishing.com">inter-publishing.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
20	Qausar Eganael Putra, Zeplin Jiwa Husada Tarigan, Rismawati Br Sitepu, Sanju Kumar Singh. "The Impact of Marketing Mix on the Consumer Purchase Decision in the Surabaya - Indonesia Hotel Residence", SHS Web of Conferences, 2020 Publication	1 %
21	<a href="http://jurnal.narotama.ac.id">jurnal.narotama.ac.id</a> Internet Source	1 %
22	<a href="http://eprints.ums.ac.id">eprints.ums.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
23	<a href="http://etd.hu.edu.et">etd.hu.edu.et</a> Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes  On

Exclude matches  < 1%

Exclude bibliography  On